

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2551 of 1987

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

- =====
1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO
 3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?
 4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?
 5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

MANILAL JETHABHAI VAGHELA

Versus

L MANSING OR HIS SUCCESSOR

Appearance:

None present for Petitioner

None present for Respondent No. 1, 2, 3

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE S.K.KESHOTE

Date of decision: 05/09/2000

ORAL JUDGEMENT

1. The matter was called out for hearing in first round in first sitting then in the second and third round in second sitting but none present for the petitioner. Perused the special civil application.

2. On 5-6-1987, this matter was placed for preliminary hearing in Court on which date, notice was issued to the respondents but they have not cared to file reply to the same and on 22-6-1987, the matter was admitted. Thereafter, the matter was placed from time to time in the court but none of the respondents has cared to file reply to the special civil application. Worse part is that today nobody is present on their behalf to make oral submissions.

3. The petitioner in this petition made first prayer for issuance of direction to the respondents to give him the due promotion on the post of Mukadam/peon. Second prayer has been made for direction to the respondents to revise the pay scale of the petitioner, to pay up the difference of salary and seniority from the deemed date. As usual prayer has also been made for grant of interim relief but that has not been granted.

4. Nobody is present on behalf of the petitioner also and his absent goes to show that possibly the petitioner would have been given all the benefits. Be that as it may. However, if all or any of the grievances of the petitioner survive, he is free to file a detailed representation in respect thereof to the respondent No.1 and the respondent No.1 shall consider the same in accordance with law within reasonable period say, within two months from the date of receipt thereof. However, if any order adverse to the petitioner is made on his representation by the respondent No.1, liberty is granted to him for revival of this special civil application. The special civil application and Rule stand disposed of accordingly with no order as to costs.

zgs/-